



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,580	11/09/1999	ALEXANDER G. MACINNIS	36101/SAH/B6	8182	
23363 75	590 06/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
PO BOX 7068					
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		2674	20	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0.4.1	09/437,580	MACINNIS ET AL.
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN	ION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	elater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing STEED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	ing date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding am f the shortened statutory period for repl fice later than three months after the ma	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension by originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other:		•

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Sokawa et al teaches

recited fig. 12, in col. 22, lines 58-61, the write pointer Pw returns to the head position of the first input buffer portion which is now vacant (a field for a blanking out pixel value as claimed).

recited fig. 23, in col. 28, lines 64-67, a portion **d** which is overlaps the tail portion of the former half VSi by a predetermined number of pixels (e.g. 10 pixels, a numerical value pixel as claimed) is added to the head of the latter half VSj (a header data packet as claimed).

Thus, the teaching of Sokawa meets the claimed limitation "receiving a header data packet that includes a field for a blank start pixel value, which is a numerical value that indicate a number of pixels to be blanked out."